Topological rewriting systems: confluences and chains

Adya Musson-Leymarie https://adyaml.com

with Cyrille Chenavier and Thomas Cluzeau

CASC - Computer Algebra for Scientific Computing

September 2nd, 2024





Rewriting theory

Describes sequences of computations through oriented identities

a.k.a. rewrite rules











Transitive reflexive closure We write $a \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} b$ to express that $a = a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_{\ell} = b$



Transitive reflexive closure We write $a \xrightarrow{*} b$ to express that $a = a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_{\ell} = b$





Transitive reflexive closure We write $a \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} b$ to express that $a = a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_{\ell} = b$





Example

Multivariate division with respect to R is confluent iff R is a Gröbner basis





Topological Abstract Rewriting System

- → (X, τ) a topological space → → a binary relation on X

Topological Abstract Rewriting System

- \rightarrow (X, τ) a topological space
- ightarrow ightarrow a binary relation on X







Theorem [Chenavier 2020].

Standard basis ⇔ topological confluence where standard bases are to formal power series as Gröbner bases are to polynomials





Theorem [Chenavier 2020].

Standard basis ⇔ topological confluence where standard bases are to formal power series as Gröbner bases are to polynomials Of interest in computer science: infinitary λ/Σ -terms





Theorem [Chenavier 2020].

Standard basis ⇔ topological confluence where standard bases are to formal power series as Gröbner bases are to polynomials Of interest in computer science: infinitary $\lambda/\Sigma\text{-terms}$

It implies the **Church-Rosser property** of the classical system $(X, -\mathfrak{D})$ Under certain topological separation conditions, it implies **unicity of normal** forms reached by $-\mathfrak{D}$

Strength of confluences

For every TARS we have: confluence \implies topological confluence infinitary confluence \implies topological confluence

Strength of confluences

For every TARS we have: confluence \implies topological confluence infinitary confluence \implies topological confluence

Discrete rewriting system

If $x \rightarrow y$ implies $x \rightarrow y$, then we say that the TARS (X, τ, \rightarrow) has discrete rewriting

In such a case, confluence, topological confluence and infinitary confluence are trivially equivalent

For instance, if τ is the discrete topology, then (X, τ, \rightarrow) has discrete rewriting

Counter-example of topological confluence \Rightarrow confluence Consider again, in $\mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]$

$$R = \{z - y, z - x, y - y^2, x - x^2\}.$$

Counter-example of topological confluence \Rightarrow confluence Consider again, in $\mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]$

$$R = \{z - y, z - x, y - y^2, x - x^2\}.$$

R is a standard basis because

- → LM (R) = {x, y, z} and
- → if $f \in I(R)$ then f has no constant coefficient

Counter-example of topological confluence \Rightarrow confluence Consider again, in $\mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]$

$$R = \{z - y, z - x, y - y^2, x - x^2\}.$$

R is a standard basis because

→ LM (R) = {x, y, z} and

→ if $f \in I(R)$ then f has no constant coefficient

Thus the system is topologically confluent



However we saw previously that it is not confluent

Counter-example of topological confluence \Rightarrow infinitary confluence

```
X := (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}) \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})
```

where $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$ is endowed with the order topology

Counter-example of topological confluence \Rightarrow infinitary confluence

 $X := (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}) \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$

where $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})$ is endowed with the order topology

 $orall n,m\in\mathbb{N}, \hspace{0.3cm} (n,m)
ightarrow (n+1,m) \hspace{0.3cm} ext{and} \hspace{0.3cm} (n,m)
ightarrow (n,m+1)$

Note how $(n,m) \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} (n',m')$ iff $n \leq n'$ and $m \leq m'$



Theorem [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024] using [Chenavier, 2020].

Let ${\it R}$ be a set of formal power series and < be a local monomial order that is compatible with the degree.

Theorem [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024] using [Chenavier, 2020].

Let ${\it R}$ be a set of formal power series and < be a local monomial order that is compatible with the degree.

The rewriting system induced by R and < is topologically confluent if and only if it is infinitary confluent.

Alternatively

Find conditions on topological rewriting systems, in particular verified by formal power series, that are sufficient to prove

topological confluence equivalent to infinitary confluent

and then prove that it implies

topological confluence equivalent to standard bases for commutative formal power series

(See Notes on topological rewriting theory at adyaml.com/research)

II. Equivalence of confluences

Metric on formal power series

Valuation	
$\operatorname{val}\left(xy^{2}z^{2}+z^{3}+y\right)=1$ $\operatorname{val}\left(x^{2}yz+xy^{2}z\right)=4$	

Metric
$$f,g \in \mathbb{K}[[x_1,\cdots,x_n]]$$
 $\delta(f,g) \coloneqq rac{1}{2^{\mathsf{val}(f-g)}}$



Example of a convergent sequence

In $\mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]$ the sequence (f_n) of powers of a variable (say x) converges: $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = 0$ because val $(x^n - 0) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \infty$



Example of a convergent sequence

In $\mathbb{K}[[x, y, z]]$ the sequence (f_n) of powers of a variable (say x) converges: $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = 0$ because val $(x^n - 0) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} \infty$ Hence in the example of the introduction:



Monomial orders

- → Total order compatible with monomial multiplication
- → Global if 1 is minimal \rightarrow Gröbner bases
- → Local if 1 is maximal → Standard bases
- → Compatible with the degree if the degree function on monomials is non-increasing (resp. non-decreasing) for a local (resp. global) order

Consequence: if < is a local order compatible with the degree then

 $\mathsf{val}\,(f) = \mathsf{deg}\,(\mathsf{LM}\,(f))$

Ideals of formal power series are topologically closed

→ $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$: local noetherian topological ring with respect to the (x_1, \dots, x_n) -adic topology. Therefore a **Zariski ring** [Samuel, Zariski, 1975]

Ideals of formal power series are topologically closed

- → K[[x₁, · · ·, x_n]]: local noetherian topological ring with respect to the (x₁, · · ·, x_n)-adic topology. Therefore a Zariski ring [Samuel, Zariski, 1975]
- → Constructive proof providing a cofactor representation of a formal power series in the topological closure of the ideal [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024]

Theorem [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024].

Let R be a set of formal power series and < be a local monomial order that is compatible with the degree.

Theorem [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024].

Let R be a set of formal power series and < be a local monomial order that is compatible with the degree.



Proof of the result

Theorem [Chenavier, Cluzeau, ML, 2024].

Let R be a set of formal power series and < be a local monomial order that is compatible with the degree.





- \rightarrow Fix < a local monomial order compatible with the degree
- \rightarrow Write \rightarrow the one-step rewriting relation induced by R and <



- \rightarrow Fix < a local monomial order compatible with the degree
- \rightarrow Write \rightarrow the one-step rewriting relation induced by R and <

Assume that \rightarrow is topologically confluent *i.e.* R is a standard basis with respect to < of the ideal I := I(R) generated by R



Goal

Construct inductively **two rewriting sequences** starting from g and h respectively that will be proven to be **Cauchy**

It will turn out that the limits are then equal and hence give a **common** topological successor to g and h



→ By induction: $\exists g \xrightarrow{*} g_k \text{ and } \exists h \xrightarrow{*} h_k$



- → By induction: $\exists g \xrightarrow{*} g_k$ and $\exists h \xrightarrow{*} h_k$
- → If $g_k = h_k$, then it's over!



- → By induction: $\exists g \xrightarrow{*} g_k$ and $\exists h \xrightarrow{*} h_k$
- → If $g_k = h_k$, then it's over!
- → Otherwise:

$$0 \neq g_k - h_k \in I$$



- → By induction: $\exists g \xrightarrow{*} g_k \text{ and } \exists h \xrightarrow{*} h_k$
- → If $g_k = h_k$, then it's over!
- → Otherwise:

$$0 \neq g_k - h_k \in I$$

→ Rewrite LM $(g_k - h_k)$

Facts

→ the sequences $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy

 \rightarrow their limits are equal

Facts

→ the sequences $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy

 \rightarrow their limits are equal

So $\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} h_k =: \ell$



Which shows that \rightarrow is infinitary confluent

Problem. $a \oplus b$ does not always imply $a \to a_1 \to a_2 \to a_3 \to \cdots \to b$





Problem. $a \oplus b$ does not always imply $a \to a_1 \to a_2 \to a_3 \to \cdots \to b$







Conjecture (Chains).

Consider commutative formal power series with a **finite number of rules**. If $f \rightarrow \alpha$, with α a normal form, then there exists a (possibly infinite) rewriting chain from f to α

Conjecture (Chains).

Consider commutative formal power series with a **finite number of rules**. If $f \rightarrow \alpha$, with α a normal form, then there exists a (possibly infinite) rewriting chain from f to α

Has been proven when rules form a **standard basis** or when f is a series with only finitely many multiple of leading monomials of rules (in particular, if f is a **polynomial**)





THANK YOU FOR LISTENING!